| Ар  | Proved For Release 2008/0<br>CERTRAL INTELL<br>INFORMATION FOREIGN DOCUMENTS C  | IGENCE AGE | HOY          | D809A0005007                  | 30103-3   |
|---|---|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| COUNTRY   | TOTA and Satellite  |            | 25X1         |                               |           |
| SUBJECT   | SUVING AND SATELLITE SUDTO O  | Comment ae | )লা          | DATE DIST. 22                 | Trme 19/9 |
| PUBLISHED<br>WHERE  | Monitored Hadio Broadcants  | 25X1       |              |                               | 1747      |
| PUBLISHED DATE  |   | 207(1      |              | NO. OF PAGES                  | 2<br>25V4 |
| PUBLISHED<br>LANGUAGE   |   |            |              | SUPPLEMENT TO                 | 25X1      |
| This recuserf com of the shiride at u.s. c., 31 And 32. or its confrant in interest priles. R | AINS INFORMATION AFFACTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE<br>VIEW SIZE OF STANDARD OF REFIGERACE ACC - on<br>A ASSEDED DES TRANSPISSION OF THE ATVISIATION<br>ANY DAMMER TO AN UNAUTHORIZOU SANDON PRO-<br>FRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS MODIFIED. | 25X1       | THIS IS UNEV | REPORT NO.<br>ALUATED INFORMA | TION      |

IMBRODUCTION: Since the rost recent report (No. 6) of this series, probably one of the most interesting elements of Seviet, Satellite, and Seviet-controlled German broadcasts about the CFM Conference has been their fairly systematic development of argumentative bases for: (1) charging the Wostern Powers with responsibility for Conference failure on the "fundamental" issues; (2) derogating Western motives for a limited agreement on the resumption of East-West trade; and (3) craditing the Soviet Union with the only consistently just attempt to achieve Conference success. All of these lines of approach have been included in previous broadcasts, but not quite as evertly as in several recent broadcasts. The Soviet peace treaty proposals, which are given a fair amount of attention, are used as much for "exposing" the West's "splitting" intentions and uncooperative attitude as for demonstrating the "correctness" of the Soviet arguments at the Conference. Such issues as the Occupation Statute, the Bonn Constitution, the Berlin problem, the currency question, the unamimity principle, and the German "National Front' received familiar treatment. Soviet-controlled German broadcasts, continuing to identify the Berlin rell strike with the "obstructive" Western attitude in Paris, accuse Howley of "organizing a nanouver of deception" in order to prolong the strike for the purpose of "again disturbing the Faris Conference and evoking the danger of war." The first monitored Yugoslav comments about the Conference ceho Soviet propaganda on the one hand; and on the other, complete about the refusal of "all foreign ministers except Mr. Schuman" to discuss the Austrian treaty with the Yugoslav Ambassador.

"Inclication" AND THE CONTINUES: On 15 and 16 June, a widely broadcast PRAVDA article by Yuri Zhukov suggests the intended assignee of Vishinsky's peace treaty proposals. Contending

|           | IFICATION RESTRICTED | • |  |   | 7 |
|-----------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|
| ADDY MAIN | DISTRIBUTION         |   |  | 1 | F |

## Approved For Release 2008/03/03 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000500730103-3

that Achesco res Scridently aware west the impression would be on world public opinion of a direct reflect to accept the close-cut Soviet proposals aiming... at a speedy peace treaty,"

Zhukes attaches to this awareness Acheson's "unconcealed irritation," his "very queer manner," his "compact and modeled" arguments, and his attempt to "switch attention to other matters." Faced with a "quantary," Acheson, surported by Bevin and Schuman, "did not reject the proposals satright, out suggested that they is referred for analysis to the deputy sinisters"—a "maneuver" conigned to "abelye the Sowlet proposals." And thereby the Western delegations "caused the "lapse of the... propaganda structure which they had been building up for three weeks" and "high was aimed at "creating the impression that they are championing the interests of the Goravas. ... The USOR delegation proved convincingly that such assertions are insincers... (And) by rejecting the Soviet proposals,... the Western Powers have involuntarily confirmed the correctness of the (Soviet) arguments." Other commontaries also devote explicit attention to the subject of propaganda. Linetsky, for example, making much of the "systematic" Western rejection of all of the "concrete" Soviet proposals, accuses the BBC of attempting reverse the roles and explains the attempt as "an effort to mislead world public opinion so that the blane can be shifted over to the Soviet Union well in advance." Varying the thome, a Soviet-controlled erman broadcast charges that "by dowr rejection of all Soviet proposals, the three Wostern ministers obviously thought that... they could induce the Soviet Foreign Minister to break off the Conference" and therefore blame the USSR for "having torpodood the Conference and having prevented Germany's unity." But the USSR relentlessly pursued the only path leading to peace and submitted concrete suggestions, "foremost among them" being the peace treaty proposals; as a result, "the Western Powers more forced to admit that their ... attempts at having the Conference torpodoed by the Soviet Union had failed pitifully."

WHAT DOES THE SEST WANT? WHY DID THEY WANT TO CONVENE THIS CONFERENCE? Having "proved" that the Western Powers are striving to maintain indefinitely the occupation regime and a dismembered Garmany, the above-mentioned Zhukov article proceeds to apply to them a question that American observers have repeatedly raised about the USSR. "Diplomatic observers," says Zhukov, "have repostedly asked themselves the question: What do the delegations of the Western Fowers really want? Why did they bring up the question of calling the current session of the CFM?" The answer is that they came to Paris "to set aside the fundamental problems... and to come to terms... only (on) resumption of trade with Eastern Germany." But even the motives for this alleged goal are declared suspect: resumption of trade is of vital concern to the "Gorman-American trusts in the Western Zone"; Americans want to relieve the economic stagnation of West Germany and need an Eastern outlet for West-German goods that would otherwise compete with American products; "it seems that the Americans have finally understood that the East has always been a natural and traditional market for German goods" and the American economic "crisis" is compelling thom "to grasp at any and every opportunity to reduce excessive expenditures." And returning in his final paragraph to the Soviet proposals, Zhukov declares that on their basis "agreement could be reached on all questions, including the economic question." Thus, he lays the argument for accusing the West of obstructing solution of the "fundamental problems" and for attributing an invidious role to the Western Powers and a positive role to the USSR\*\* in the event of a limited economic agreement. Satellite and Sovietcontrolled German broadcasts echo the same line.

YUCOSIAV COMENT: The Yugoslav Fress Agency, TANYUG, echoing the Soviet line, reports the Western attempt to extend their regime to "East Germany, which is developing in a democratic spirit under (Soviet) protection." TANYUG also complains that Austria's Gruber had long talks with all the Big Four ministers, whereas only Schuman talked with the Yugoslav Ambassador about the Austrian treaty; if Gruber's talks established a basis for agreement, this will be "an incomprehensible act directed against Yugoslav interests." Soviet commentaries have not mentioned the subject of an Austrian peace treaty.

<sup>\*</sup> Earlier Seviet and Satellite broadcasts gave the USSR the credit for the Conference meeting; now, when it suits the propagandist's purpose, the West "brought up the question."

<sup>\*\*</sup> In other contexts, also, Soviet commentaries continue their outspoken espousal of East-